State of Arizona Senate Forty-seventh Legislature Second Regular Session 2006

SENATE BILL 1512

AN ACT

AMENDING SECTION 15-808, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES; AMENDING TITLE 15, CHAPTER 9, ARTICLE 8, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, BY ADDING SECTION 15-1044; AMENDING TITLE 41, CHAPTER 27, ARTICLE 2, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, BY ADDING SECTION 41-3016.01; MAKING AN APPROPRIATION; RELATING TO COMPUTER-BASED EDUCATION.

(TEXT OF BILL BEGINS ON NEXT PAGE)

- j -

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Arizona: Section 1. Section 15-808, Arizona Revised Statutes, is amended to read:

15-808. <u>Technology assisted project-based instruction program:</u> report

- A technology assisted project-based instruction program shall be instituted on a pilot basis to meet the needs of pupils in the information age. Until June 30, 2003, the state board of education shall select up to four existing traditional public schools, at least one of which shall serve pupils in kindergarten programs and grades one through twelve, and beginning July 1, 2003 UNTIL THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS AMENDMENT TO THIS SECTION, the state board of education shall select seven existing traditional public schools and the state board for charter schools shall select seven charter schools to participate in the program. BEGINNING NO LATER THAN THIRTY DAYS AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS AMENDMENT TO THIS SECTION, THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION SHALL SELECT TEN EXISTING TRADITIONAL PUBLIC SCHOOLS AND THE STATE BOARD FOR CHARTER SCHOOLS SHALL SELECT TEN CHARTER SCHOOLS, TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROGRAM. THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION AND THE STATE BOARD FOR CHARTER SCHOOLS SHALL GIVE SELECTION PREFERENCE TO SCHOOLS THAT HAVE DEMONSTRATED THE ABILITY TO DELIVER ON-LINE INSTRUCTION. THE SELECTIONS OF PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS SHALL BE based on the following criteria:
 - 1. The depth and breadth of curriculum choices.
- 2. The variety of educational methodologies employed by the school and the means of addressing the unique needs and learning styles of targeted pupil populations including computer assisted learning systems, virtual classrooms, virtual laboratories, electronic field trips, electronic mail, virtual tutoring, on-line help desk, group chat sessions and noncomputer based activities performed under the direction of a certificated teacher.
- 3. The availability of an intranet or private network to safeguard pupils against predatory and pornographic elements of the internet.
 - 4. The availability of filtered research access to the internet.
- 5. The availability of private individual electronic mail between pupils, teachers, administrators and parents in order to protect the confidentiality of pupil records and information.
- 6. The availability of broadcast quality television production and editing facilities on campus.
- 7. The availability of faculty members who are experienced in broadcast television production.
- 8. The availability of faculty members who are experienced with computer networks, the internet and computer animation.
- 9. The extent to which the school intends to develop partnerships with universities, community colleges and private businesses.
 - 10. The services offered to developmentally disabled populations.
 - 11. The grade levels that will be served by the program.

- 1 -

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

- Notwithstanding subsection A of this section, any school that was previously approved to participate is required to reapply every five years for participation in the program. The state board of education and the state board for charter schools shall review the effectiveness of each participating school and other information that is contained in the annual report prescribed in subsection C of this section to determine whether to renew a school's participation in the technology assisted project-based instruction program. At least eighty per cent of the pupils who are accepted each academic school year in each school participating in the program must have been previously enrolled in and attended a public school in the previous school year. Kindergarten pupils shall not participate in the technology assisted project-based instruction program, except that a kindergarten pupil may participate in the program if the pupil has a sibling who is currently enrolled in and attending the program. Pupils who participate in the program are subject to the testing requirements prescribed in chapter 7, article 3 of Upon enrollment, the school shall notify the parents or this title. guardians of the pupil of the state testing requirements. If a pupil fails to comply with the testing requirements and the school administers the tests pursuant to this subsection to less than ninety-five per cent of the pupils in the program, the pupil shall not be allowed to participate in the program.
- C. Each school selected by the state board of education to participate in the technology assisted project-based instruction program shall submit an annual report to the state board of education and the joint legislative budget committee. The state board of education and the state board for charter schools shall collaborate to develop a uniform reporting format to be used by all schools that participate in the program. Beginning July 1, 2003, each school selected by the state board for charter schools to participate in the technology assisted project-based instruction program shall submit an annual report to the state board for charter schools and the joint legislative budget committee. The reports shall be submitted by August 1 and shall include the following information:
- 1. A description of the educational services that are offered under the program and that specifically relate to the depth and breadth of the curriculum choices offered by the school.
- 2. A description of the effects of media and technology on the delivery of specific educational services to specific pupil populations.
- 3. Academic advancement as measured in grade level equivalents each academic year based on a standardized norm-referenced achievement test.
- 4. Data identified by the state board of education or the state board for charter schools, as appropriate, that compares the academic performance of pupils who participate in the technology assisted project-based instruction program with other pupils in this state and with pupils in that school who do not participate in the technology assisted project-based instruction program.

- 2 -

- 5. The results of a survey of pupil satisfaction with the program, including:
- (a) Pupils' attitudes about delivery modalities employed by the school.
 - (b) Changes in pupils' attitudes toward learning in general.
- (c) Changes in pupils' attitudes about their own ability to learn and about their own academic progress.
 - (d) Pupils' attitudes about the school they attend.
- 6. The results of a survey of parental satisfaction with the program, including:
- (a) Parents' and their children's attitudes about the delivery modalities employed by the school.
 - (b) Changes in their children's attitudes about learning in general.
- (c) Changes in their children's attitudes about their ability to learn and about their academic progress.
- (d) Parents' and their children's attitudes about the school that the child attends.
- 7. A description of the availability and equitable distribution of educational services provided under the program including specific descriptions of the effectiveness of technology tools and modalities used to address the needs of any underserved populations targeted by the school.
- 8. A description of the operational and administrative efficiency of the program.
 - 9. A description of the cost-effectiveness of the program.
- D. The state board of education and joint legislative budget committee shall collaboratively compile and evaluate the information submitted in the annual reports by schools participating in the pilot program, pursuant to subsection C of this section. The state board of education and the joint legislative budget committee shall report their findings to the governor, the speaker of the house of representatives and the president of the senate by November 15 of each year.
- E. Each school selected for the technology assisted project-based instruction program shall ensure that a daily log is maintained for each pupil who participates in the program. The daily log shall describe the amount of time spent by each pupil participating in the program pursuant to this section on academic tasks. The daily log shall be used by the school district or charter school to qualify the pupils who participate in the program in the school's average daily attendance calculations pursuant to section 15-901.
- F. If a pupil is enrolled in a school district or charter school and also participates in the technology assisted project-based instruction program, the sum of the average daily membership, which includes enrollment as prescribed in section 15-901, subsection A, paragraph 2, subdivisions (a) and (b) and daily attendance as prescribed in section 15-901, subsection A, paragraph 6, for that pupil in the school district or charter school and in

- 3 -

the technology assisted project-based instruction program shall not exceed 1.0. If the pupil is enrolled in a school district or a charter school and also participates in the technology assisted project-based instruction program and the sum of the daily membership or daily attendance for that pupil is greater than 1.0, the sum shall be reduced to 1.0 and shall be apportioned between the school district or charter school and the technology assisted project-based instruction program based on the percentage of total time that the pupil is enrolled or in attendance in the school district or charter school and the technology assisted project-based instruction program. The uniform system of financial records shall include guidelines for the apportionment of the pupil enrollment and attendance as provided in this subsection.

- G. If at any time the superintendent of public instruction determines that a school district that was previously admitted to the technology assisted project-based instruction program is not meeting the criteria prescribed in subsection A of this section, the superintendent of public instruction may recommend that the state board of education replace that school district with a school district of the superintendent of public instruction's choice that meets the criteria prescribed in subsection A of this section. The state board of education shall consider and take formal action on the superintendent of public instruction's recommendation.
- H. The enrollment of pupils that participate in the technology assisted project-based instruction program in each school that was previously approved to participate in the program shall not grow more than one hundred per cent in any fiscal year.
- I. If the academic achievement of a pupil declines while the pupil is participating in the technology assisted project-based instruction program, the pupil's parents, the pupil's teachers and the principal or head teacher of the school shall confer to evaluate whether the pupil should be allowed to continue to participate in the program.
- Sec. 2. Title 15, chapter 9, article 8, Arizona Revised Statutes, is amended by adding section 15-1044, to read:

15-1044. Arizona e-learning task force; duties

- A. THE ARIZONA E-LEARNING TASK FORCE IS ESTABLISHED. THE TASK FORCE SHALL CONSIST OF THE FOLLOWING MEMBERS WHO SHALL BE APPOINTED ON OR BEFORE AUGUST 31, 2006:
- 1. TWO REPRESENTATIVES OF THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY WITH EXPERTISE IN TECHNOLOGY ISSUES, ONE OF WHOM SHALL BE APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNOR AND ONE OF WHOM SHALL BE APPOINTED BY THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.
- 2. TWO PERSONS WHO SHALL HAVE A BACKGROUND IN PSYCHOMETRICS, ONE OF WHOM SHALL BE APPOINTED BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE AND ONE OF WHOM SHALL BE APPOINTED BY THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.
- 3. TWO PERSONS WHO HAVE EXPERTISE IN CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT, ONE OF WHOM SHALL BE APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNOR AND ONE OF WHOM SHALL BE APPOINTED BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE.

- 4 -

- 4. ONE TEACHER WHO PROVIDES INSTRUCTION IN GRADE SIX, SEVEN, EIGHT OR NINE IN A PUBLIC, PRIVATE OR CHARTER SCHOOL AND WHO IS APPOINTED BY THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.
- 5. ONE PERSON WHO REPRESENTS A PUBLIC, PRIVATE OR CHARTER SCHOOL THAT PROVIDES INSTRUCTION IN GRADE SIX, SEVEN, EIGHT OR NINE AND WHO IS APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNOR.
- 6. ONE PERSON WHO REPRESENTS AN ENTITY THAT HAS AT LEAST TEN YEARS OF EXPERIENCE IN TEACHER TRAINING AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT COURSEWORK AND THAT HAS PROVIDED SUCH TRAINING AND COURSEWORK TO AT LEAST FIVE THOUSAND TEACHERS AND WHO IS APPOINTED BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE.
- 7. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION OR THE SUPERINTENDENT'S DESIGNEE.
- 8. THE DIRECTOR OF THE GOVERNMENT INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AGENCY OR THE DIRECTOR'S DESIGNEE.
- B. THE TASK FORCE SHALL ANNUALLY ELECT A CHAIRPERSON FROM AMONG THE MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE. THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SHALL PROVIDE STAFF SUPPORT FOR THE TASK FORCE.
- C. THE INITIAL MEMBERS APPOINTED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION A, PARAGRAPHS 1 THROUGH 6 SHALL ASSIGN THEMSELVES BY LOT TO TERMS OF ONE, TWO AND THREE YEARS IN OFFICE. ALL SUBSEQUENT MEMBERS APPOINTED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION A, PARAGRAPHS 1 THROUGH 6 SERVE THREE YEAR TERMS. THE CHAIRPERSON SHALL NOTIFY THE APPOINTING AUTHORITY OF THESE TERMS.
 - D. THE TASK FORCE SHALL:
 - 1. EXAMINE E-LEARNING PROGRAMS IN OTHER STATES.
- 2. ANALYZE POTENTIAL METHODS TO IMPLEMENT E-LEARNING PROGRAMS IN THIS STATE.
 - 3. DEVELOP INNOVATIVE E-LEARNING SOLUTIONS.
- 4. ANNUALLY REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE REGARDING E-LEARNING PROGRAMS AND SOLUTIONS.
- E. TASK FORCE MEMBERS ARE NOT ELIGIBLE FOR COMPENSATION, BUT TASK FORCE MEMBERS WHO ARE APPOINTED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION A, PARAGRAPHS 1 THROUGH 6 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES PURSUANT TO TITLE 38, CHAPTER 4, ARTICLE 2 FROM MONIES APPROPRIATED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION.
- Sec. 3. Title 41, chapter 27, article 2, Arizona Revised Statutes, is amended by adding section 41-3016.01, to read:
 - 41-3016.01. E-learning task force; termination July 1, 2016
 - A. THE E-LEARNING TASK FORCE TERMINATES ON JULY 1, 2016.
 - B. SECTION 15-1044 IS REPEALED ON JANUARY 1, 2017.
 - Sec. 4. <u>E-learning pilot program</u>
- A. The department of education, in cooperation with the e-learning task force established by section 15-1044, Arizona Revised Statutes, as added by this act, shall establish an e-learning pilot program at up to ten schools.
- B. On or before December 15, 2006, the e-learning task force, in cooperation with the department of education, shall prepare an actionable

- 5 -

request for proposals to implement a three-year e-learning pilot program to help participating schools to achieve academic and motivational gains based on the state and national average and shall submit the preliminary request for proposals to the joint legislative budget committee for review and comment. The request for proposals shall require all responses to meet the government information technology agency standards. The request shall include:

- 1. The scope of work, including programmatic, performance and technical requirements, conceptual design, specifications and functional and operational elements for the delivery of the completed components of the pilot program.
- 2. A description of the qualifications required of the entity or group of entities that will be selected for the pilot program.
- 3. Copies of the contract documents that the successful bidder or group of bidders will be expected to sign.
 - 4. A timeline for the design and completion of the pilot program.
 - 5. The estimated cost of the components of the pilot program.
 - 6. Any other information relevant to the pilot program.
- C. Based on the comments received from the joint legislative budget committee, the task force shall finalize the request for proposals and the department of education shall issue the finalized request for proposals within thirty days after the hearing conducted by the joint legislative budget committee.
- D. The department of education shall award a contract or contracts within one hundred eighty days after the issuance of the finalized request for proposals.
- E. At least ten days before the department of education enters into a contract or contracts that result from the request for proposals, the superintendent of public instruction shall submit the provisions of the contract or contracts for review by the joint legislative budget committee in executive session.
- F. The overall e-learning system shall be implemented through a three-year pilot program by an entity or a group of entities that delivers the following elements:
- 1. Program management by an organization that is capable of each of the following:
 - (a) Delivering reliable psychometric analysis of academic gains.
- (b) Evaluating coursework for alignment with the academic standards adopted by the state board of education.
- (c) Performing reliability analysis of electronic systems delivering coursework and assessments.
 - (d) Analysis and direction towards system improvements.
- (e) Delivering monthly reports on the performance of the system and directing any corrective steps required to achieve success.

- 6 -

- 2. A central delivery or supporting system with the ability to deliver course work to fifty thousand students simultaneously at the highest reliability level both at home and at school.
- 3. Mathematics instruction through a digital curriculum system with coursework and assessments that are aligned with the academic standards adopted by the state board of education. The assessments shall provide formative and cumulative assessments aligned with the coursework, and shall provide percentile rank, grade level and scale scores on the Arizona instrument to measure standards test at the individual, classroom and school level on a real-time basis. The scale score reports on the Arizona instrument to measure standards test shall be on the same scale as the passing scale score for a high school diploma in this state. The entity or group of entities delivering the assessments shall be able to show that the entity or group of entities are capable of delivering these assessments with computer adaptive testing.
- 4. Lap-top technology to teachers and students involved in the pilot program.
- 5. Teacher training and professional development coursework and assessment. The teacher development and training shall be delivered by an entity or group of entities that has experience providing teacher training and professional development coursework and shall include both initial and ongoing components. The coursework shall include strategies and techniques to successfully integrate technological and digital resources into the daily work of students.
- After the department of education and the e-learning task force have awarded a contract to the entity or group of entities that will implement the e-learning pilot program, the department of education, in cooperation with the e-learning task force, shall establish application procedures and additional selection criteria for schools that wish to apply for participation in the pilot program. The pilot program shall be conducted at up to ten schools that provide instruction in any two grades consisting of grade six, seven, eight or nine. Pupils in preschool programs, kindergarten programs, grades one through five and grades ten, eleven and twelve are not eligible to participate in the pilot program. These schools shall be able to demonstrate that the teachers who will participate in the pilot program are committed to the pilot program. The affected teachers shall complete the initial training and professional development component of the pilot program by the end of the fall semester of 2007.
- H. In cooperation with the e-learning task force, the department of education shall submit a report on or before November 15, 2010 to the governor, the president of the senate and the speaker of the house of representatives that summarizes the results of the pilot program. The academic effectiveness of pupils in the pilot program shall be measured according to the existing assessment mechanisms prescribed in title 15, Arizona Revised Statutes. The department of education shall provide a copy

- 7 -

of this report to the secretary of state and the director of the Arizona state library, archives and public records.

I. Schools and pupils that participate in the technology assisted project-based instruction program established pursuant to section 15-808, Arizona Revised Statutes, are not eligible for participation in the pilot program.

Sec. 5. <u>E-learning fund</u>

The e-learning fund is established consisting of monies appropriated by the legislature and monies received from any other public and private sources. The department of education shall administer the fund, subject to the direction of the e-learning task force.

Sec. 6. Delayed repeal

Sections 4 and 5 of this act, relating to the e-learning pilot program and the e-learning fund, are repealed from and after August 31, 2011.

Sec. 7. Appropriation; department of education; e-learning pilot program; exemption

- A. The sum of \$3,000,000 is appropriated from the state general fund to the department of education in fiscal year 2006-2007 to carry out the e-learning pilot program established by this act. The department of education may distribute the monies appropriated in this section in fiscal years 2006-2007, 2007-2008, 2008-2009 and 2009-2010.
- B. The appropriation made in subsection A of this section is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations.
- C. The department of education may retain up to five per cent of the monies appropriated pursuant to subsection A of this section for administrative costs of the department in connection with the e-learning pilot program, to provide technical assistance to schools that participate in the pilot program.

- 8 -